arXiv:0904.0525v1 [cs.IT] 3 Apr 2009

# The Minimal Polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of Linear Recurring Sequence over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *

Zhi-Han Gao† and Fang-Wei Fu‡

**Abstract**

Recently, motivated by the study of vectorized stream cipher systems, the joint linear complexity and joint minimal polynomial of multisequences have been investigated. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a linear recurring sequence over finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ are isomorphic vector spaces over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathcal{S}$ is identified with an $m$-fold multisequence $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The joint minimal polynomial and joint linear complexity of the $m$-fold multisequence $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ are the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ respectively. In this paper, we study the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. If the canonical factorization of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is known, we determine the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$.

**Keywords:** Linear recurring sequences, minimal polynomial, linear complexity, multisequences, joint minimal polynomial, joint linear complexity.

**AMS Classifications:** 94A55, 94A60

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be a finite field with $q^m$ elements, which contains a subfield $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q$ elements. Let $\mathcal{S} = (s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n, \ldots)$ be a linear recurring sequence over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$.

---

*This research is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the Grant 60872025.

†Z.-H. Gao is with the Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. E-mail: gaulwy@mail.nankai.edu.cn

‡F.-W. Fu is with the Chern Institute of Mathematics and the Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China. Email: fwfu@nankai.edu.cn

The monic polynomial $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is called a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ if

$$a_0s_k + a_1s_{k+1} + a_2s_{k+2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}s_{k+n-1} + s_{k+n} = 0, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

If the characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ is a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$, that is, all $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we call $f(x)$ a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Since the linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is ultimately periodic, a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ does exist. The minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$) of $\mathcal{S}$ is the uniquely determined characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$) of $\mathcal{S}$ with least degree. The linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$) of $\mathcal{S}$ is the degree of the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$) of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $h(x)$ be the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. It is known that $h(x)|f(x)$ for any characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Similarly, let $H(x)$ be the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$, we have $H(x)|f(x)$ for any characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Note that a characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is also a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Hence, $h(x)|f(x)$ for any characteristic polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, $h(x)|H(x)$.

Similarly, for any $m$-fold multisequence $\mathbf{S}^{(m)} = (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the monic polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is called a joint characteristic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ if $g(x)$ is a characteristic polynomial of $S_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. The joint minimal polynomial of $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ is the uniquely determined joint characteristic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ with least degree, and the joint linear complexity of $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ is the degree of the joint minimal polynomial of $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ are isomorphic vector spaces over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is identified with an $m$-fold multisequence $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is well known that the joint minimal polynomial and joint linear complexity of the $m$-fold multisequence $\mathbf{S}^{(m)}$ are the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ respectively.

The linear complexity of sequences is one of the important security measures for stream cipher systems (see [2], [5], [26], [27]). For a general introduction to the theory of linear feedback shift register sequences, we refer the reader to [13, Chapter 8] and the references therein. The linear complexity of sequences has been extensively studied by many researchers. For a recent survey paper, see Niederreiter [21]. The notion of linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of linear recurring sequences over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ was introduced by Ding, Xiao and Shan in [5], and discussed by some authors, for example, see [1], [12], [14]-[18], [20], [21], [23]. Recently, in the study of vectorized stream cipher systems, the joint linear complexity of multisequences has been extensively investigated (see [3], [4], [6]-[11], [14]-[25], [28]-[30]).

In this paper, we study the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. If the canonical factorization of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is known, we determine the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and give some results on linear recurring sequences that will be used in this paper. In Section 3 we introduce a ring automorphism of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. We derive some results on this polynomial ring automorphism that are crucial to establish the main results in this paper. In Section 4 we determine the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. In Section 5 we give a new proof for the lower bound of Meidl and Özbudak [17] on the linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with given minimal polynomial $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We show that this lower bound is tight if and only if the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is in certain form.

# 2 Linear Recurring Sequences

Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote $\mathcal{M}(f(x))$ the set of all linear recurring sequences over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with characteristic polynomial $f(x)$. Note that $\mathcal{M}(f(x))$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with dimension $\deg(f(x))$. We need the following results on linear recurring sequences from [13]:

**Theorem 1** [13, Theorem 8.55] *Let $f_1(x), \ldots, f_k(x)$ be monic polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $f_1(x), \ldots, f_k(x)$ are pairwise relatively prime, then the vector space $\mathcal{M}(f_1(x)\cdots f_k(x))$ is the direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{M}(f_1(x)), \cdots, \mathcal{M}(f_k(x))$, that is*

$$\mathcal{M}(f_1(x)\cdots f_k(x)) = \mathcal{M}(f_1(x)) \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} \mathcal{M}(f_k(x)).$$

**Theorem 2** [13, Theorem 8.57] *Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ be linear recurring sequences over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The minimal polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ are $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ respectively. If $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ are pairwise relatively prime, then the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i$ is the product of $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$.*

It is easy to extend this result to the following case:

**Lemma 1** *Let* $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_k$ *be linear recurring sequences over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. *The minimal polynomials over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_k$ *are* $H_1(x), H_2(x), \ldots, H_k(x)$ *respectively. If* $H_1(x), H_2(x), \ldots, H_k(x)$ *are pairwise relatively prime over* $\mathbb{F}_q$, *then the minimal polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{S}_i$ *is the product of* $H_1(x), H_2(x), \ldots, H_k(x)$.

Now we establish the following lemma which will be used in this paper:

**Lemma 2** *Let* $S$ *be a linear recurring sequence over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *The minimal polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $S$ *is given by* $h(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x)\cdots h_k(x)$ *where* $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ *are monic polynomials over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *If* $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ *are pairwise relatively prime, then there uniquely exist sequences* $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *such that*

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_k$$

*and the minimal polynomials over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ *are* $h_1(x), h_2(x), \ldots, h_k(x)$ *respectively.*

*Proof:* By Theorem 1, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(h(x)) = \mathcal{M}(h_1(x)) \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} \mathcal{M}(h_k(x)).$$

Then, there uniquely exist sequences $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $S_j \in \mathcal{M}(h_j(x))$ and

$$S = S_1 + S_2 + \cdots + S_k.$$

Assume that the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $S_j$ is $h_j^{'}(x)$ which is a divisor of $h_j(x)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Theorem 2, the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $S$ is $\prod_{j=1}^{k} h_j^{'}(x)$. Thus,

$$h_1^{'}(x)h_2^{'}(x)\cdots h_k^{'}(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x)\cdots h_k(x).$$

Since $h_j^{'}(x)|h_j(x)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$h_j^{'}(x) = h_j(x), \;\; 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

# 3 Polynomial Ring Automorphism

We define $\sigma$ to be a mapping from the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ to itself as follows: For $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$,

$$\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x],$$

$$f(x) \longrightarrow \sigma(f(x))$$

where $\sigma(f(x)) = a_0^q + a_1^q x + \cdots + a_n^q x^n$. It is easy to see that $\sigma$ is a ring automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Throughout the paper, we will use the fact that

$$\sigma(f(x)g(x)) = \sigma(f(x))\sigma(g(x)), \text{ for any } f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x].$$

Denote $\sigma^{(k)}$ the $k$th usual composition of $\sigma$. Note that $\sigma^{(0)}$ is the identity mapping. Since $a^{q^m} = a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, we have $\sigma^{(m)}(f(x)) = f(x)$. Denote $k(f)$ the minimum positive integer $k$ such that $\sigma^{(k)}(f(x)) = f(x)$.

**Lemma 3** *For any $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ and positive integer $l$, $\sigma^{(l)}(f(x)) = f(x)$ if and only if $k(f)|l$.*

*Proof:* It is easy to see that $\sigma^{(l)}(f(x)) = f(x)$ if $k(f)|l$. On the other hand, if $\sigma^{(l)}(f(x)) = f(x)$, we assume that $l = k(f)w + r$ and $0 \le r < k(f)$. Then

$$f(x) = \sigma^{(l)}(f(x)) = \sigma^{(r)}(\sigma^{(k(f)w)}(f(x))) = \sigma^{(r)}(f(x)).$$

Hence, $r = 0$ by the definition of $k(f)$. Therefore, $k(f)|l$. □

Now we define an equivalence relation $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$: $f(x) \overset{\sigma}{\sim} g(x)$ if and only if there exists positive integer $j$ such that $\sigma^{(j)}(f(x)) = g(x)$. The equivalence classes induced by this equivalence relation $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$ are called $\sigma$-equivalence classes.

**Lemma 4** *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Then $\sigma(f(x))$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$.*

*Proof:* Since $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$, we have $f(x) = \sigma^{(m)}(f(x))$. Then, we only need to prove that $\sigma(f(x))$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ if $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Assume that $\sigma(f(x))$ is not irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, that is to say there exist two nonconstant polynomials $r_1(x), r_2(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ such that $\sigma(f(x)) = r_1(x)r_2(x)$. Therefore,

$$f(x) = \sigma^{(m)}(f(x)) = \sigma^{(m-1)}(\sigma(f(x))) = \sigma^{(m-1)}(r_1(x))\sigma^{(m-1)}(r_2(x))$$

where $\sigma^{(m-1)}(r_1(x)), \sigma^{(m-1)}(r_2(x))$ are nonconstant polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, which contradicts to the fact that $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Hence, $\sigma(f(x))$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. $\square$

The following theorem is crucial to establish the main results in this paper.

**Theorem 3** *Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$, then the product*

$$f(x)\sigma(f(x))\sigma^{(2)}(f(x))\cdots\sigma^{(k(f)-1)}(f(x))$$

*is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.*

*Proof:* Let $\deg(f(x)) = n$. Then, by [13, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.14] there exits $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{mn}}$ such that

$$f(x) = (x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^{q^m})(x-\alpha^{q^{2m}})\cdots(x-\alpha^{q^{(n-1)m}}) \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha, \alpha^{q^m}, \ldots, \alpha^{q^{(n-1)m}}$ are different roots of $f(x)$. Let $g(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{mn}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. By [13, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.14], $g(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and

$$g(x) = (x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^{q})(x-\alpha^{q^2})\cdots(x-\alpha^{q^{d-1}}) \tag{2}$$

where $d$ is the least positive integer such that $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$. Since $\alpha^{q^{mn}} = \alpha$ and $\alpha, \alpha^{q^m}, \ldots, \alpha^{q^{(n-1)m}}$ are distinct, we have $d \mid mn$ but $d \nmid im$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Then, we claim that $d$ must be a multiple of $n$. Otherwise, we have $\gcd(d,n) < n$. Since $d \mid mn$, then we have $\frac{d}{\gcd(d,n)} \mid \frac{mn}{\gcd(d,n)}$. Since $\frac{d}{\gcd(d,n)}$ and $\frac{n}{\gcd(d,n)}$ are relatively prime, we have $\frac{d}{\gcd(d,n)} \mid m$. Then, $d \mid \gcd(d,n)m$. This gives a contradiction since $\gcd(d,n) < n$. Therefore, $d$ is a multiple of $n$. Let $k$ be the positive integer such that $d = nk$. Since $d \mid mn$, then $k \mid m$. Let $s$ be the positive integer such that $m = sk$. Then, we claim that $s$ and $n$ are relatively prime. Otherwise, we have $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)} < n$. Since $n \mid \frac{ns}{\gcd(n,s)}$, then $kn \mid \frac{kns}{\gcd(n,s)}$, that is $d \mid m\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)}$. This gives a contradiction since $\frac{n}{\gcd(n,s)} < n$. Therefore, $s$ and $n$ are relatively prime. Thus, $\{js \mid j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is a complete residue system modulo $n$, i.e., there exits $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1})$, a permutation of $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1)$, such that $js \equiv i_j \pmod{n}$. So we have $kjs \equiv ki_j \pmod{kn}$, i.e., $jm \equiv ki_j \pmod{d}$. Hence, $\alpha^{q^{jm}} = \alpha^{q^{ki_j}}$ for $0 \le j \le n-1$. Therefore, it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x-\alpha^{q^{ki_0}})(x-\alpha^{q^{ki_1}})(x-\alpha^{q^{ki_2}})\cdots(x-\alpha^{q^{ki_{n-1}}}) \\ &= (x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^{q^k})(x-\alpha^{q^{2k}})\cdots(x-\alpha^{q^{(n-1)k}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

By (3) and the definition of $\sigma$, we have

$$\sigma^{(i)}(f(x)) = (x-\alpha^{q^i})(x-\alpha^{q^{k+i}})(x-\alpha^{q^{2k+i}})\cdots(x-\alpha^{q^{(n-1)k+i}}). \tag{4}$$

By (2), (3), (4) and note that $d = nk$, we have

$$g(x) = f(x)\sigma(f(x))\ldots\sigma^{(k-1)}(f(x))$$

and

$$\sigma^{(k)}(f(x)) = (x-\alpha^{q^k})(x-\alpha^{q^{2k}})(x-\alpha^{q^{3k}})\ldots(x-\alpha^{q^{nk}}) = f(x).$$

Since $d$ is the least positive integer such that $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$ and $d = nk$, we have that $f(x), \sigma(f(x)), \ldots, \sigma^{(k-1)}(f(x))$ are different from each other. Hence, $k = k(f)$. Therefore,

$$g(x) = f(x)\sigma(f(x))\cdots\sigma^{(k(f)-1)}(f(x)).$$

Note that $g(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we complete the proof. $\square$

Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. It is known from Lemma 4 that $f(x), \sigma(f(x)), \ldots, \sigma^{(k(f)-1)}(f(x))$ are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Denote

$$R(f(x)) = f(x)\sigma(f(x))\cdots\sigma^{(k(f)-1)}(f(x)).$$

By Theorem 3, $R(f(x))$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Note that $R(f(x))$ is a multiple of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Using Theorem 3, we could give an refined version of [13, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.46] as follows:

**Theorem 4** *Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $n = \deg(f(x))$. Let $m$ be a positive integer. Denote $u = \gcd(n, m)$. Then the canonical factorization of $f(x)$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is given by*

$$f(x) = h(x)\sigma(h(x))\cdots\sigma^{(k(h)-1)}(h(x))$$

*where $h(x)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $k(h) = u$.*

*Proof:* By [13, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.46], the canonical factorization of $f(x)$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is given by

$$f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)\cdots f_u(x)$$

where $f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_u(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ are distinct irreducible polynomials with the same degree. Let $h(x) = f_1(x)$. By Theorem 3, $R(h(x))$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Since $f(x)$ and $R(h(x))$ have a common factor $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$, $f(x)$ and $R(h(x))$ are not relatively prime in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Note that $f(x)$ and $R(h(x))$ are monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. So, $f(x) = R(h(x))$. By Lemma 4, $h(x), \sigma(h(x)), \ldots, \sigma^{(k(h)-1)}(h(x))$ are all irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Therefore, the canonical factorization of $f(x)$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is given by

$$f(x) = h(x)\sigma(h(x)) \cdots \sigma^{(k(h)-1)}(h(x))$$

and $k(h) = u$. □

In certain sense, Theorem 4 could be considered as a converse procedure of Theorem 3.

## 4 Minimal Polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$

Now we determine the minimal polynomial and linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$.

**Theorem 5** *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a linear recurring sequence over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with minimal polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Assume that the canonical factorization of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is given by*

$$h(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l} P_{j0}^{e_{j0}} P_{j1}^{e_{j1}} \cdots P_{ji_j}^{e_{ji_j}}$$

*where $\{P_{uv}\}$ are distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$, $P_{j0}, P_{j1}, \ldots, P_{ji_j}$ are in the same $\sigma$-equivalence class and $P_{uv}$, $P_{tw}$ are in the different $\sigma$-equivalence classes when $u \neq t$. Then the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by*

$$H(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l} R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$$

*where $e_j = \max\{e_{j0}, e_{j1}, \ldots, e_{ji_j}\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$.*

*Proof:* By Lemma 2, there uniquely exist sequences $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_l$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 + \cdots + \mathcal{S}_l$$

and the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}_j$ is $P_{j0}^{e_{j0}} P_{j1}^{e_{j1}} \cdots P_{ji_j}^{e_{ji_j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. Let $H_j(x)$ be the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}_j$. Since $P_{j0}, P_{j1}, \ldots, P_{ji_j}$ are in the same $\sigma$-equivalence class, then $R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$ is a multiple of $P_{j0}^{e_{j0}} P_{j1}^{e_{j1}} \cdots P_{ji_j}^{e_{ji_j}}$.

So, by Theorem 3, $R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$ is a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}_j$. Hence, $H_j(x)$ divides $R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Since, by Theorem 3, $R(P_{j0})$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $H_j(x) = R(P_{j0})^{e'_j}$ where $e'_j \leq e_j$. By the definition of $e_j$, there exists $e_{ju_j}$ such that $e_{ju_j} = e_j$ where $0 \leq u_j \leq i_j$. If $e'_j < e_j$, then $P_{ju_j}^{e_{ju_j}}$ can't divide $H_j(x)$. However, $H_j(x)$ is a multiple of $P_{j0}^{e_{j0}} P_{j1}^{e_{j1}} \cdots P_{ji_j}^{e_{ji_j}}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ since $H_j(x)$ is also a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}_j$. This gives a contradiction. Therefore, $e'_j = e_j$, i.e., $H_j(x) = R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$. For any $0 \leq u \neq v \leq l$, we claim that $R(P_{u0})^{e_u}$ and $R(P_{v0})^{e_v}$ are relatively prime. Suppose on the contrary that there exist $R(P_{u0})^{e_u}$ and $R(P_{v0})^{e_v}$, where $u \neq v$, which are not relatively prime. Since $R(P_{u0})$ and $R(P_{v0})$ are monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then we have $R(P_{u0}) = R(P_{v0})$. Hence, $P_{u0}$ divides $R(P_{v0})$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$. By Theorem 4, the canonical factorization of $R(P_{v0})$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is given by

$$R(P_{v0}) = P_{v0}\sigma(P_{v0}) \cdots \sigma^{(k(P_{v0})-1)}(P_{v0}).$$

Since $P_{u0}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, there exists a positive integer $j$ such that $P_{u0} = \sigma^{(j)}(P_{v0})$. This contradicts to the fact that $P_{u0}$ and $P_{v0}$ are in the different $\sigma$-equivalence classes. Therefore, $R(P_{u0})^{e_u}$ and $R(P_{v0})^{e_v}$ are relatively prime. Then, $H_1(x), H_2(x), \ldots, H_l(x)$ are pairwise relatively prime. By Lemma 1, the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{S}_j$ is the product of $H_1(x), H_2(x), \ldots, H_l(x)$. Therefore, we have

$$H(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l} R(P_{j0})^{e_j}$$

which completes the proof. □

**Corollary 1** *Under the notation of Theorem 5, the linear complexity over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is given by*

$$L_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} e_j k(P_{j0}) \deg(P_{j0})$$

*where* $k(f)$ *is defined in Section 3.*

Using Theorem 5, we could also give a refinement of [18, Proposition 2.1]:

**Theorem 6** *Let* $f(x)$ *be a polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *with* $\deg(f) \geq 1$. *Suppose that*

$$f = r_1^{e_1} r_2^{e_2} \cdots r_l^{e_l}, \quad e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_l > 0 \tag{5}$$

*is the canonical factorization of $f$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote $n_i = \deg(r_i)$. Suppose by Theorem 4 that the canonical factorization of $r_i(x)$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is given by*

$$r_i(x) = P_i(x)\sigma^{(1)}(P_i(x)) \cdots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i(x)) \tag{6}$$

*where $u_i = \gcd(n_i, m) = k(P_i(x))$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a linear recurring sequence over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Then, the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is $f(x)$ if and only if the minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is of the following form:*

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P_i^{e_{i0}} \sigma^{(1)}(P_i)^{e_{i1}} \cdots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i)^{e_{iu_i-1}} \tag{7}$$

*where $0 \le e_{ij} \le e_i$ and $\max\{e_{i0}, e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{iu_i-1}\} = e_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$.*

*Proof:* It follows from Theorem 5 that the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is $f(x)$ if the minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by (7).

Conversely, suppose that the minimal polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is $f(x)$. Then, $h(x)$ is a factor of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ since $f(x)$ is also a characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. By (5) and (6), the canonical factorization of $f(x)$ into monic irreducibles over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is given by

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P_i^{e_i} \sigma^{(1)}(P_i)^{e_i} \cdots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i)^{e_i}.$$

So $h(x)$ must be of the form

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} P_i^{e_{i0}} \sigma^{(1)}(P_i)^{e_{i1}} \cdots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i)^{e_{iu_i-1}}$$

where $0 \le e_{ij} \le e_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$. By Theorem 5, the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by

$$H(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} R(P_i)^{e_i'} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} r_i(x)^{e_i'}$$

where $e_i' = \max\{e_{i0}, e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{iu_i-1}\}$. Due to the uniqueness of the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{S}$, we have $H(x) = f(x)$. Hence, $e_i' = e_i$. Therefore, the minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is of the form (7). This completes the proof. □

At the end of this section, we give an example to illustrate Theorem 5 and Corollary 1.

**Example 1** *Let* $\mathbb{F}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_4$ *and let* $\alpha$ *be a root of* $x^2+x+1$ *in* $\mathbb{F}_4$*. So,* $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, 1+\alpha\}$*. Let* $\mathcal{S}$ *be a periodic sequence over* $\mathbb{F}_4$ *with the least period* 15*. The first period terms of* $\mathcal{S}$ *are given by*

$$\alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^2, \alpha^2, 0, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha, 0, \alpha, 0, 0, 1.$$

*The minimal polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_4$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is* $x^3+\alpha^2x^2+\alpha^2$*. We first factor* $x^3+\alpha^2x^2+\alpha^2$ *into irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{F}_4$*:*

$$x^3+\alpha^2x^2+\alpha^2 = (x+\alpha)(x^2+x+\alpha).$$

*Note that*

$$\sigma(x+\alpha) = x+\alpha^2, \ \ \sigma^{(2)}(x+\alpha) = x+\alpha,$$

$$\sigma(x^2+x+\alpha) = x^2+x+\alpha^2, \ \ \sigma^{(2)}(x^2+x+\alpha) = x^2+x+\alpha.$$

*So we have*

$$k(x+\alpha) = 2, \ \ k(x^2+x+\alpha) = 2.$$

*Then, by Theorem 5 and Corollary 1, the minimal polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x+\alpha)\sigma(x+\alpha)(x^2+x+\alpha)\sigma(x^2+x+\alpha) && (8) \\ = \ & (x^2+x+1)(x^4+x+1) = x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+1 && (9) \end{aligned}$$

*and the linear complexity over* $\mathbb{F}_2$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is*

$$L = 1\times k(x+\alpha)\times \deg(x+\alpha)+1\times k(x^2+x+\alpha)\times \deg(x^2+x+\alpha) = 2+2\times 2 = 6.$$

# 5 Remarks on the Lower Bound of Meidl and Özbudak

Meidl and Özbudak [17] derived a lower bound on the linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of a linear recurring sequence $\mathcal{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with given minimal polynomial $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this section, using Theorem 6 we give a new proof for the lower bound of Meidl and Özbudak and show that this lower bound is tight if and only if the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is in certain form.

**Corollary 2** *Let* $f(x)$ *be a monic polynomial in* $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ *with the canonical factorization into irreducible polynomials over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *given by*

$$f = r_1^{e_1} r_2^{e_2} \dots r_k^{e_k}, \quad e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k > 0. \tag{10}$$

*Suppose that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a linear recurring sequence over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *and the minimal polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is* $f(x)$*. Then, the linear complexity* $L_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{S})$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is lower bounded by*

$$L_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{S}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \frac{n_i}{\gcd(n_i, m)} \tag{11}$$

*where* $n_i = \deg(r_i)$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$*. Furthermore, suppose by Theorem 4 that the canonical factorization of* $r_i(x)$ *into monic irreducibles over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *is given by*

$$r_i(x) = P_i(x)\sigma^{(1)}(P_i(x)) \dots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i(x)) \tag{12}$$

*where* $u_i = \gcd(n_i, m)$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$*. Then, the lower bound is tight if and only if the minimal polynomial* $h(x)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *is of the following form:*

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma^{(j_i)}(P_i)^{e_i}$$

*where* $0 \leq j_i \leq u_i - 1$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$*.*

*Proof:* It follows from (10) and (12) and Theorem 6 that the minimal polynomial $h(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ is of the form:

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i^{e_{i0}} \sigma^{(1)}(P_i)^{e_{i1}} \cdots \sigma^{(u_i-1)}(P_i)^{e_{iu_i-1}} \tag{13}$$

where $0 \leq e_{ij} \leq e_i$ and $\max\{e_{i0}, e_{i1}, \dots, e_{iu_i-1}\} = e_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Note from (12) that $\deg(P_i(x)) = n_i/u_i$. Hence, by (13),

$$L_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{S}) = \deg(h(x)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \deg(P_i(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \frac{n_i}{\gcd(n_i, m)}$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma^{(j_i)}(P_i)^{e_i}$$

where $0 \leq j_i \leq u_i - 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This completes the proof. □

**Remark 1** *Meidl and Özbudak [17, Proposition 3] showed that there exists a linear recurring sequence over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ *such that the lower bound (11) is tight. We give in Corollary 2 the necessary and sufficient condition under which the lower bound (11) is tight.*

# References

[1] W.-S. Chou, G.L. Mullen, Generating linear spans over finite fields, Acta Arith. 61 (1992), 183-191.

[2] T.W. Cusick, C. Ding, A. Renvall, Stream Ciphers and Number Theory, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.

[3] Z. Dai, K. Imamura, J. Yang, Asymptotic behavior of normalized linear complexity of multi-sequences, in: T. Helleseth et al. (Eds.), Sequences and Their Applications — SETA 2004, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3486, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 129–142.

[4] E. Dawson, L. Simpson, Analysis and design issues for synchronous stream ciphers, in: H. Niederreiter (Ed.), Coding Theory and Cryptology, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002, pp. 49–90.

[5] C. Ding, G. Xiao, W. Shan, The Stability Theory of Stream Ciphers, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 561, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[6] X. Feng, Z. Dai, Expected value of the linear complexity of two-dimensional binary sequences, in: T. Helleseth et al. (Eds.), Sequences and Their Applications — SETA 2004, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3486, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 113–128.

[7] X. Feng, Q. Wang, Z. Dai, Multi-sequences with $d$-perfect property, J. Complexity 21 (2005) 230–242.

[8] F.-W. Fu, H. Niederreiter, M. Su, The expectation and variance of the joint linear complexity of random periodic multisequences, J. Complexity 21 (2005) 804–822.

[9] F.-W. Fu, H. Niederreiter, F. Öbudak, Joint linear complexity of multisequences consisting of linear recurring sequences, in: Cryptography and Communications-Discrete Structures, Boolean Functions and Sequences, in press, available online at doi:10.1007/s12095-007-0001-4.

[10] F.-W. Fu, H. Niederreiter, F. Öbudak, Joint linear complexity of arbitrary multisequences consisting of linear recurring sequences, Finite Fields Appl., accepted for publication.

[11] P. Hawkes, G.G. Rose, Exploiting multiples of the connection polynomial in word-oriented stream ciphers, in: T. Okamoto (Ed.), Advances in Cryptology — ASIACRYPT 2000, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1976, Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 303–316.

[12] A. Klapper, Linear complexity of sequences under different interpretations, IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences E89-A (2006) 2254-2257.

[13] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1983.

[14] W. Meidl, Discrete Fourier transform, joint linear complexity and generalized joint linear complexity of multisequences, in: T. Helleseth et al. (Eds.), Sequences and Their Applications — SETA 2004, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3486, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 101–112.

[15] W. Meidl, H. Niederreiter, The expected value of the joint linear complexity of periodic multisequences, J. Complexity 19 (2003) 61–72.

[16] W. Meidl, H. Niederreiter, A. Venkateswarlu, Error linear complexity measures for multisequences, J. Complexity 23 (2007) 169–192.

[17] W. Meidl, F. Özbudak, Generalized joint linear complexity of linear recurring multisequences. In: S.W. Golomb et al. (Eds.), Sequences and Their Applications – SETA 2008, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 5203, Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 266–277.

[18] W. Meidl, F. Özbudak, Linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ for linear recurring sequences, Finite Fields Appl. 15 (2009) 110–124.

[19] W. Meidl, A. Winterhof, On the joint linear complexity profile of explicit inversive multisequences, J. Complexity 21 (2005) 324–336.

[20] G.L. Mullen, I. Shparlinski, Values of linear recurring sequences of vectors over finite fields, Acta Arith. 65 (1993), 221-226.

[21] H. Niederreiter, Linear complexity and related complexity measures for sequences, in: T. Johansson, S. Maitra (Eds.), Progress in Cryptology — INDOCRYPT 2003, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2904, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 1–17.

[22] H. Niederreiter, The probabilistic theory of the joint linear complexity of multisequences, in: G. Gong et al. (Eds.), Sequences and Their Applications — SETA 2006, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 4086, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 5–16.

[23] H. Niederreiter, A. Venkateswarlu, Periodic multisequences with large error linear complexity, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 49 (2008) 33–45.

[24] H. Niederreiter, L.P. Wang, Proof of a conjecture on the joint linear complexity profile of multisequences, in: S. Maitra et al. (Eds.), Progress in Cryptology — INDOCRYPT 2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3797, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 13–22.

[25] H. Niederreiter, L.P. Wang, The asymptotic behavior of the joint linear complexity profile of multisequences, Monatsh. Math. 150 (2007) 141–155.

[26] R.A. Rueppel, Analysis and Design of Stream Ciphers, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[27] R.A. Rueppel, Stream ciphers, in: G.J. Simmons (Ed.), Contemporary Cryptology: The Science of Information Integrity, IEEE Press, New York, 1992, pp. 65–134.

[28] L.-P. Wang, H. Niederreiter, Enumeration results on the joint linear complexity of multisequences, Finite Fields Appl. 12 (2006) 613–637.

[29] L.-P. Wang, H. Niederreiter, Successive minima profile, lattice profile, and joint linear complexity profile of pseudorandom multisequences, J. Complexity 24 (2008) 144–153.

[30] C.P. Xing, Multi-sequences with almost perfect linear complexity profile and function fields over finite fields, J. Complexity 16 (2000) 661–675.